# CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UTAH 84601

# High-Pressure Synthesis of Rare Earth Polysulfides<sup>1</sup>

By ALAN W. WEBB<sup>2</sup> and H. TRACY HALL

Received May 30, 1969

New rare earth polysulfides with the tetragonal  $LaS_2$  crystal structure were prepared for Tm, Yb, and Lu. New polymorphs of the rare earth polysulfides having the "cubic"  $LaS_2$  structure were prepared for Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu., and Y. The apparent pressure and temperature regions of stability as observed in the quenched products are delineated. The X-ray powder patterns are given for the new products.

#### Introduction

The polysulfides of all but the heaviest rare earth elements are known. Biltz<sup>3a</sup> reported the synthesis of LaS<sub>2</sub> and CeS<sub>2</sub> in 1911. Flahaut, et al.,<sup>3b</sup> found that the polysulfides of La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and Sm all had the same "cubic" structure.<sup>4</sup> These compounds were prepared by treating the respective rare earth sesquisulfide with excess sulfur in a sealed tube. It was noted that, upon heating, SmS<sub>2</sub> lost sulfur and became nonstoichiometric. This loss was reflected in a change of the apparent X-ray symmetry from "cubic" to tetragonal. Flahaut also reported the synthesis of the polysulfides of Eu, Gd, Dy, and Y, which were all nonstoichiometric with a lower limit of RS<sub>1.90</sub> and had the tetragonal structure. Unsuccessful attempts were also made to synthesize ErS<sub>2</sub> and YbS<sub>2</sub>. Ring and Tecotzky<sup>5</sup> reported the synthesis of the polysulfides; of Tb, Ho, and Er. The lower composition limit found was  $HoS_{1.70}$  An attempt to synthesize  $TmS_2$  was unsuccessful. Upon progressing through the heavier rare earth elements, the temperature must be lowered to stay in the polysulfide stability zone and simultaneously the sulfur pressure must be increased. The application of high-pressure, high-temperature synthesis techniques would be expected to satisfy both of these requirements.

We use the term "polysulfide" herein to describe compounds containing sulfur in excess

of the rare earth's trivalent stoichiometry requirements.

## **Experimental Section**

The high pressures were obtained by use of a tetrahedral press designed by Hall.<sup>6,7</sup> The high temperatures were generated by an internal graphite resistance heater and a controlled ac power supply which provided a low-voltage, high-current source. This equipment allowed routine work to pressures of 70 kbars and temperatures of 2000°.

Pressure and temperature calibrations were performed during the course of the work.<sup>8</sup> The pressure calibration was based on the resistance transitions found in cerium, mercury, bismuth, thallium, ytterbium, and barium using the values given by Jeffrey, *et al.*<sup>9</sup> The temperature calibrations were made at four different working pressures spanning the working region using Pt—Pt-10% Rh thermocouples. No correction for pressure effects on the emf was made.

Sulfur flowers of nominal 99.99% purity were used in the mixes. The rare earth metals (Nd, Gd, Tb, Dy\*, Ho, Er, Tin\*, Yb, Lu, and Y\*) were all obtained in ingot form with a purity of 99.5% or better, from either Alfa Inorganics (denoted by asterisks) or Nuclear Corp. of America. The ingots were filed and sieved to -100, then a stoichiometric mixture of a 1: 2 mole ratio of metal to sulfur was weighed out. These



Figure 1.—Reaction product diagram for Nd + 2S: X, no reaction; O, "cubic" NdS<sub>2</sub>;  $\Delta$ ,  $\beta$ -Nd<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>.

were intimately mixed and stored in a desiccator for use as soon as possible. Oxygen contamination was thus minimized but not necessarily eliminated.

The sample charge was tamped into a small BN tube capped on both ends by BN disks. The BN tube was used to prevent formation of rare earth carbides. Contamination by the BN was assumed minimal since it was observed that the BN sleeve was easily separated from the sample slug after a run. The BN capsule was placed in the graphite-tube resistance heater and the whole assembly was inserted into a pyrophyllite tetrahedron, using molybdenum strips for electrical conduction from the tetrahedron faces to the graphite tube. The tetrahedron was then painted with a slurry of red iron oxide in methanol to increase the surface friction, and the whole assembly dried at 110° for at least 1 hr. This baking increases the pressure obtained by a given ram load.10

The tetrahedron was placed in the press and the pressure slowly increased to a load of 400 psi oil pressure and then rapidly to the pressure of interest, since experience has shown that the major part of the gasket formation occurs below about 250-300 psi. The power was increased to the desired wattage over an interval of about 15 sec. The power was held at this value for a time of 3-8 min, inversely dependent upon the



Figure 2.—Reaction product diagram for Tb + 2S. This figure also applies to the systems Gd + 2S and Dy + 2S with a minimum pressure for the "cubic" phase of 20 and 25 kbars and no reaction below 550–650 and 500–600°, respectively (see text): X, no reaction; O, "cubic" TbS<sub>2</sub>;  $\Delta$ , tetragonal TbS<sub>2</sub>;  $\lambda$ , unknown phase.

wattage used to offset partially expected kinetic effects, and then abruptly cut to quench the sample.

The samples were broken open immediately upon removal from the press and the product slug was extracted. A fragment of the slug was then ground between two polished tungsten carbide flats, and the powder was placed in a 0.5mm capillary for an X-ray diffraction powder pattern. The product was then identified from the X-ray film since the visual appearance of the samples varied little between runs.

The systems of interest were studied over a pressure range of 14-70 kbars and a temperature range of 400-1900°. Temperatures above 1100° were not attempted at the lower pressures. The scatter in the calibration data limited the definition of the run parameters to  $\pm 3$  kbars for the pressure and about  $\pm 7\%$  for the temperature. From 21 to 53 runs of varying pressure and temperature conditions were made on each of the rare earth-sulfur systems studied.

All the rare earth-sulfur systems studied except those of Nd and Y exhibited one or two runs which gave complex X-ray patterns. For the systems with Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu the unknown material was found around 1000° and 15 kbars, indicating possibly a new phase. For the



Figure 3.—Reaction product diagram for  $\rm Er$  + 2S. This figure also applies to the systems of Ho, Tm, and Yb + 2S. The minimum pressures for cubic formation are 33, 52, and 65 kbars, respectively. The no-reaction zones are below 500–700, 450–600, and 400–450°, respectively. Symbols are the same as in Figure 2.



remaining systems no pattern was noted in the positions of the unknown material in the *P*-*T* field.

Figures 1-5 show reaction product diagrams of typical R + 2S systems. They are not phase,



diagrams. They only show the product formed by quenching after application of high pressures and elevated temperatures.

Figure 1 shows the results obtained with the Nd + 2S system. "Cubic" NdS<sub>2</sub> was found at nearly all pressures at temperatures above 500-600°. At somewhat higher temperatures  $\beta$ -Nd<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was also found at the lower pressures, and only this product was obtained at the higher pressures in the interval 900-1200°. This is consistent with the results of sealed-tube methods.<sup>11</sup> At yet higher temperatures sealed tube methods gave  $\gamma$ -Nd<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. Above the  $\beta$ -Nd<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> region in the high-pressure experiments only the "cubic" NdS<sub>2</sub> was found, possibly indicating the formation of a product which is not stable at low pressures. No new compounds were found.

The system Gd + 2S gave results similar to those in Figure 2. No reaction was noted below  $550-650^{\circ}$ . Above this temperature and below about 20 kbars the previously known tetragonal GdS<sub>2</sub> phase was found. In the region from 20 to 70 kbars and 600- 900° material was found which appeared the same as the tetragonal GdS<sub>2</sub> physically but which exhibited a somewhat simpler X-ray diffraction pattern. It had the pattern of "cubic" LaS<sub>2</sub>. Both the tetragonal phase and the heretofore unknown "cubic"

 $GdS_2$  were identified from their X-ray diffraction patterns by comparison with the published data of Flahaut, *et al.*<sup>4</sup>

Rare Earth Polysulfides 4

Figure 2 shows the system Tb + 2S. It shows the low-temperature region of no reaction below  $450-650^\circ$ , the tetragonal phase region at higher temperatures below 23 kbars and above about  $1000^\circ$ , and the region of the new "cubic" polymorph from 23 to 70 kbars and 550-900°. This system alone of these studied showed partial formation of the "cubic" form at very high temperatures and the higher pressures. Further work indicated that this was not reproducible and thus possibly reaction while quenching through the "cubic" region led to these observations. Two other runs of mixed tetragonal and complex unknown forms were also observed in this region but not in a uniform manner.

The reaction product diagram for Dy + 2S is similar to Figure 2. The no-reaction zone occurs below 500-600°. The new "cubic" polymorph occurs between 25 and 70 kbars and between 500 and 900°. Elsewhere above the no-reaction zone tetragonal DyS<sub>2</sub> occurs.

The diagrams for the systems Ho + 2S, Er + 2S, Tm + 2S, and Yb + 2S are all similar to Figure 3, which illustrates the Er + 2S system. Ho + 2S shows a no-reaction zone below 500-700° and a new "cubic" polymorph of HoS<sub>2</sub> was found between 33 and 70 kbars and in the temperature range 600-800°. At other regions above the no-reaction zone the previously known tetragonal polymorph was found. Also found at three points above 1400° and at pressures of 51 and 68 kbars was a mixture of tetragonal HoS<sub>2</sub> and cubic  $\gamma$ -Ho<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> which has recently been reported by Eatough, *et al.*<sup>12</sup> Further investigation failed to reveal a definite phase region for the sesquisuIfide.

Figure 3 shows the reaction product diagram of Er + 2S. The no-reaction zone is below 450-650°. The previously known tetragonal  $\text{ErS}_2$  was found above this region below 41 kbars and at all pressures above 750°. From 41 to 70 kbars in the temperature interval 500-750° the newly synthesized "cubic"  $\text{ErS}_2$  was found.

The no-reaction zone of the Tm + 2S system was found to be below  $450-600^{\circ}$ . The previously unknown "cubic" TmS<sub>2</sub> was found in the pressure range 52-70 kbars and the temperature range 500-800°. Tetragonal TmS<sub>2</sub> which was not previously known was found throughout the region studied above the no-reaction region and outside the cubic region.

The Yb + 2S system was similar to the Ho + 2S system. The no-reaction region was below 400-450°. A new "cubic" YbS<sub>2</sub> was found in the temperature interval 400-600° at pressures of 65-

70 kbars. A previously unknown tetragonal YbS<sub>2</sub> was found in the rest of the region above the noreaction zone. A cubic  $\gamma$ -Yb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was also found at 1500-1900° and 35-60 kbars. As in the case of the  $\gamma$ -Ho<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> noted above, this was the first time this structure has been produced from the elements. These compounds have also been obtained in this structure from another sesquisulfide structure recently.<sup>12</sup> There is a possibility that instead of the  $R_2S_3$  composition the  $R_3S_4$  composition was formed in the above work, but these two compositions are isomorphous and, for the lighter rare earths, have the same lattice constant. The  $R_3S_4$  compounds of holmium and ytterbium are also unknown previously.

Figure 4 shows the Lu + 2S system. The noreaction zone is below 500-450°. The previously unknown tetragonal, LuS<sub>2</sub> was found at higher temperatures. An unidentified phase of complex X-ray structure was found above 1000° in the region 14-21 kbars. Several attempts were made to find a "cubic" polymorph of LuS<sub>2</sub>. A mixture of the tetragonal and "cubic" phases was finally formed in the vicinity of 650° and 95 kbars.

Figure 5 illustrates the system Y + 2S. The no-reaction zone is below 500-550°. A new "cubic"  $YS_2$  was found from 35 to 70 kbars and from 500° to 1200°. The known tetragonal  $YS_2$  was found elsewhere above the no-reaction zone.

In physical characteristics the rare earth polysulfides were all similar. They were all dark gray to black with a submetallic silvery sheen. They crushed readily to give a dull black powder which exhibited a variable brick red tinge. They all had rather high electrical resistance although no absolute measurements were made.

An attempt was made to measure the densities of a number of the samples. Only 0.1-0.2 g of product was available for each determination so a precision of only  $\pm 10\%$  was expected. Theoretical densities were calculated assuming both RS<sub>2</sub> and RS<sub>1.7</sub> stoichiometries, since other work<sup>4,5</sup> indicates that there is a sulfur deficiency in the tetragonal polysulfides extending from SmS<sub>1.90</sub> to HoS<sub>1.70</sub>. The density values are presented in Table I. No chemical analyses were attempted due to the small sample size and due to the lack of a suitable technique for separating the unused reactants from the products.

The rare earth polysulfides all reacted with aqueous solutions of HCl, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to produce gas with dissolution of the compound. Aqueous KOH attacked the specimens only

Densit	ties of the Rar	e Earth Polysu	lfides										
		-Density, g/cm	3										
Theoret													
Compound	$RS_2$	$RS_{1.7}$	Obsd										
Tetragonal													
$GdS_2$	6.11	5.85	5.5										
$TbS_2$	6.27	6.00	5.9 +										
DyS <sub>2</sub>	6.47	6.19	6.1										
$HoS_2$	6.63	6.36	5.9										
$ErS_2$	6.75	6.47	6.0										
$TmS_2$	6.87	6.58	6.4										
YbS <sub>2</sub>	7.06	6.78	6.5										
$LuS_2$	7.17	6.88	6.4										
$YS_2$	4.35	4.07	3.6										
	Cu	bic											
$NdS_2$	5.29	5.14											
$GdS_2$	6.05	5.79	5.4										
$TbS_2$	6.15	5.89	5.4										
$DyS_2$	6.35	6.08	5.8										
$HoS_2$	6.49	6.21	5.9										
$ErS_2$	6.64	6.37	6.1										
$TmS_2$	6.72	6.43	5.7										
YbS <sub>2</sub>	6.89	6.65											
$LuS_2$	6.99	6.76											
$YS_2$	4.32	4.05	3.9										

Table I

partially. Water caused a surface discoloration, and anisole, which was used as the displacement fluid in the density determinations, produced no change at all. All chemical reactions were run for 4 days.

All of the compounds made were reexamined by X-ray diffraction after 1 month to determine their stability in the relatively dry air of the laboratory. A number of specimens were also checked after 3 months. No change in the Xray diffraction films was noted.

### **X-Ray Diffraction Studies**

The "cubic" rare earth polysulfides have been indexed on the basis of the LaS<sub>2</sub> structure, which has the tetragonal space group P4/nmm. It is related to the  $ErSe_2$  (LaTe<sub>2</sub>) tetragonal subcell (see Figure 6) by the relationship

$$a_{\text{LaS2}} = 2a_{\text{ErSe2}}$$
  
 $c_{\text{LaS2}} = c_{\text{ErSe2}}$ 

The X-ray diffraction spectra were obtained by the Debye-Scherrer method with a 143.2-mm diameter camera. Nickel-filtered copper radiation was used. The d values were calculated using the





values  $\lambda(K\alpha)1.5418$  Å and  $\lambda(K\alpha_1)1.54050$  Å. The intensities were estimated visually.

A preliminary indexing of the patterns was accomplished by comparison with previously published indexing of related systems. The lattice parameters were then calculated on an IBM 7040 computer using the least-squares program LSRSTR.<sup>13</sup> None of the optional correction functions (absorption, eccentricity, and beam divergence) was used since this program was designed to work with single-crystal data. However, absorption did affect the *d* values of the low-angle reflections.

The indexing of the rest of the observed reflections was accomplished by the use of the FORTRAN IV program POWDER.<sup>14</sup> This program calculates the expected powder pattern intensities for all d values of interest, using the lattice parameters, the Laué group, the necessary atomic scattering factor tables, the general positions, the special extinctions, and the atomic positions of the atoms in the asymmetric unit. For this work the atomic positions determined by Wang for  $\text{ErSe}_2^{15}$  were used. Wang found nearly the same parameters for both ErSe<sub>2</sub> and NdTe<sub>1.8</sub>. Owing to the similarity of the ionic radii of sulfur and selenium, it was assumed that these positions could be used for the polysulfide systems to calculate approximate reference powder patterns. These calculated patterns Were then used to assign indices to the observed diffraction lines on the basis of the calculated and observed intensities.

The preliminary indexing of both the tetragonal and the "cubic" RS<sub>2</sub> phases was accomplished by comparison with Flahaut .<sup>4</sup> The indexing of both structures was extended to include all observed lines by means of the calculated reference patterns. All the tetragonal compounds were then compared for consistency in indexing, and the same treatment was given the "cubic" compounds. The lattice parameters



Figure 7.—Lattice parameters of the "tetragonal" rare earth polysulfides. [Ionic radii used here and in Figures 8 and 9 are taken from 0. H. Templeton and C. H. Dauben, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **76**, 5237 (1954), with the exception of  $V^{3+}$ , which was taken from A. Iandelli in "Rare Earth Research," E. V. Kleber, Ed., The Macmillan Co., New York, N. Y., 1961, p 140.]



Figure 8.—Lattice parameters of the "cubic" rare earth polysulfides.

were then obtained from the full diffraction sets by a second application of the program LSRSTR. The errors given are  $\pm 2\sigma$  values. Limiting the fit to those lines with  $2\theta$  values from 90 to  $180^{\circ}$ decreases the error by a factor of about 2/3 in

Lattice	Parameters of	of the Rare Ea	rth Polys	ulfides						
Com- pound	<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub> , Å	c,Å	Vol, $Å^3$	Mean atomic vol, Å <sup>3</sup>						
	RS <sub>2</sub> Tetra	agonal Polym	orphs							
$GdS_2$	7.796±0.008	7.196±0.008	481.12	20.05						
TbS <sub>2</sub>	7.754±0.009	$7.864 \pm 0.009$	472.82	19.70						
DyS <sub>2</sub>	$7.696 \pm 0.004$	7.861±0.004	465.60	19.40						
$HoS_2$	$7.649 \pm 0.003$	$7.839 \pm 0.003$	458.64	19.11						
$ErS_2$	7.636±0.006	7.811±0.006	455.45	18.98						
$TmS_2$	$7.610 \pm 0.004$	$7.784 \pm 0.005$	450.79	18.78						
YbS <sub>2</sub>	$7.578 \pm 0.008$	$7.767 \pm 0.008$	446.03	18.59						
$LuS_2$	$7.560 \pm 0.006$	7.751±0.006	443.00	18.46						
$YS_2$	$7.720 \pm 0.004$	$7.846 \pm 0.004$	467.61	19.48						
RS <sub>2</sub> Cubic Polymorphs										
$NdS_2$	8.011±0.003		514.11	21.41						
$GdS_2$	7.882±0.003		489.68	20.40						
$TbS_2$	7.845±0.005		482.81	20.12						
$DyS_2$	$7.809 \pm 0.004$		476.20	19.84						
$HoS_2$	$7.784 \pm 0.004$		471.64	19.65						
$ErS_2$	$7.745 \pm 0.004$		464.58	19.36						
$TmS_2$	$7.745 \pm 0.006$		464.58	19.36						
YbS <sub>2</sub>	$7.722 \pm 0.004$		460.46	19.19						
$LuS_2$	$7.687 \pm 0.012$		454.22	18.93						
$YS_2$	7.797±0.006		474.00	19.25						

Table II

most cases. This error is closer to that found by other workers for members of this series. The lattice parameters are given in Table II and compared with previous work in Figures 7 and 8. The powder patterns of the tetragonal polysulfides of Tm, Yb, and Lu are given in Table III, and the powder patterns of the "cubic" polysulfides of Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, and Y are given in Table IV.

### Discussion

Stoichiometric "cubic" rare earth polysulfides were previously known for the elements lanthanum through samarium and sulfur-deficient tetragonal rare earth polysulfides were known for the elements samarium through erbium.<sup>4,5</sup> The degree of sulfur deficiency increases through the series, with  $SmS_{1.90}$  at the first and  $DyS_{1.89}$  or  $HoS_{1.72}$  later in the series.

Since no chemical analyses were made, only an estimate of the probable composition of the new tetragonal polymorphs can be made. The theoretical densities based on the  $RS_2$ Composition show the tetragonal forms to be denser than the cubic polymorph. Since the "cubic" polymorph is the high-pressure form, it would be expected to be the denser of the two forms. Thus, if we assume that the "cubic" form has the  $RS_2$  stoichiometry and that the theoretical density of the tetragonal form is equal to that of

Tatragonal Dara Farth Dolygulfidag											
	Tet	ragona			Porysullides						
		—Tr	$nS_2$ —	—Yt	$S_2$	—Lı	$1S_2$				
hkl	Intens	$d_{ m obsd}$	$d_{ m calcd}$	$d_{ m obsd}$	$d_{ m calcd}$	$d_{ m obsd}$	$d_{\text{calcd}}$				
002	w	3.90	3.89	3.86	3.88	3.85	3.88				
201	VVS	3.42	3.42	3.39	3.41	3.38	3.40				
211	vvw				3.11	3.07	3.10				
220	s	2.687	2.691	2.669	2.679	2.662	2.673				
003	w	2.590	2.595	2.586	2.589	2.573	2.584				
221	w	2.536	2.543	2.530	2.533	2.523	2.527				
310	vvw	2.410	2.406		2.396	2.384	2.691				
222	vvs	2.210	2.213	2.208	2.205	2.199	2.200				
203	vvs	2.138	2.144	2.134	2.138	2.130	2.133				
004	vw	1.945	1.946	1.942	1.942	1.936	1.938				
400	s	1.89	1.906	1.890	1.895	1.885	1.890				
223	vw	1.862	1.868	1.863	1.862	1.855	1.858				
401	vvw	1.843	1.848		1.841	1.833	1.836				
204	VW	1.731	1.733	1.726	1.728	1.725	1.724				
402	m	1.707	1.709	1.700	1.703	1.695	1.699				
421	S	1.661	01.662	1.654	4.656	1.648	1.652				
224	VW	1.576	1.577	1.574	1.572	1.568	1.569				
403	VW	1.532	1.534	1.527	1.529	1.523	1.525				
205	w	1.441	1.441	1.438	1.4.7	1.434	1.434				
423	VS	1.421	1.423	1.418	1.418	1.412	1.415				
404	VW	1.360	1.360	1.355	1.356	1.352	1.353				
225,	m	1.345	1.346	1.346	1.342	1.335	1.339				
440											
424	vw	1.281	1.281	1.276	1.277	1.272	1.274				
442	W	1.271	1.271	1.266	1.266	1.261	1.263				
601	w	1.251	1.252	1.247	1.247	1.242	1.244				
206	w	1.228	1.228	1.224	1.225	1.222	1.222				
620	m	1.203	1.230	1.198	1.198	1.195	1.195				
443,	VW	1.195	1.192	1.187	1.187	1.183	1.184				
621											
226	VW	1.169	1.169	1.165	1.166	1.163	1.163				
425,		1.148	1.149	1.144	1.145	1.141	1.142				
622											
603	VW	1.139	1.139	1.134	1.135	1.131	1.133				
444	vvw	1.106	1.107	1.102	1.103	1.099	1.100				
623	VW	1.091	1.092	1.087	1.087	1.084	1.085				
		0									
604	vvw			1.056	1.059	1.054	1.056				
641	w	1.045	1.046	1.041	1.041	1.038	1.039				
426	w	1.032	1.032	1.029	1.029	1.027	1.026				
624, 227	vvw			1.020	1.022	1.017	1.020				
605	vvw	0.983	0.983	0.980	0.980	0.978	0.978				
643	m	0.978	0.978	0.974	0.974	0.971	0.971				
407	vvw	0.960	0.960	0.958	0.957	0.956	0.955				
		+27 oth	er lines	+22 oth	er lines	+25 other lines					

Table III X-Ray Powder Patterns of the Tetragonal Rare Earth Polysulfides

the "cubic" form, we can calculate an upper limit for the composition of the tetragonal polymorph. Then we find the compositions  $\text{TmS}_{1.78}$ ,  $\text{YbS}_{1.77}$ , and  $\text{LuS}_{1.83}$ . The density of the tetragonal form is expected to be less than that of the "cubic" polymorph and there is a possibility that the heavier "cubic" rare earth polysulfides are sulfur deficient. Both of these factors would tend to lower even further the sulfur content of the tetragonal polymorphs from the above values. This is in line with the known compositions of the lighter members.

The appearance of the "cubic" phase, definitely of the same X-ray powder diffraction pattern as the reported "cubic"  $LaS_2$ , in the system Gd + 2S from high-pressure synthesis might be due to either or both of two reasons.

The first is the relative compressibility of the sulfur in its negative ionic state (whether as  $S^{2-}$ or  $S_2^{2}$  ions) with respect to the metal ion. The metal ion has been found to be about one-fourth as compressible as the sulfur;<sup>16</sup> thus the metal to anion radius ratio increases with pressure. However, the metal. to anion radius ratio decreases for a given pressure upon going through the lanthanide elements from lanthanum to lutetium. Thus, the effect of pressure is to cause a given system to mimic the system containing a lighter rare earth element at a lower pressure. Formation of the "cubic" polymorph would therefore indicate mimicry of samarium or a lighter element, and the minimum pressure necessary for formation of the "cubic" form would increase as a function of the difference between the atomic number of the element of interest and that of samarium or as a function of the difference in the respective ionic radii. The observed minimum pressure of formation for the "cubic" phase is shown as a function of the ionic radius in Figure 9.



The second possible reason is a return to the higher sulfur content seen with the lighter rare earth polysulfides. Wang<sup>17</sup> found from his single-crystal studies on NdTe<sub>1.8</sub> that the vacancies occurred in the basal plane, *i.e.*, in the dense-packed layer of anions (see Figure 6). He concluded that the polyselenides were similarly deficient, and thus it is logical to suppose that the vacancies in the polysulfides also occur in the basal plane. This is also suggested by the data on

SmS<sub>2</sub>, which Flahaut, *et al.*,<sup>3b</sup> have found to be pseudocubic (a = 7.97 Å) but which becomes tetragonal upon heating with a loss of sulfur (a = 7.89, c = 7.97 Å). This shrinkage of the *a* lattice parameter with no change in the *c* parameter supports the view that the anion vacancies occur in the basal plane. The filling of at least part of these anion vacancies would cause a return to the pseudocubic X-ray powder symmetry, and from the work of Ring and Tecotzky<sup>5</sup> it would be expected that higher sulfur pressures would be

required for this. Thus, the increase in a minimum pressure of formation would be related to the minimum sulfur pressure necessary for synthesis.

Since the "cubic" samarium polysulfide is also nonstoichiometric ( $SmS_{1.94}$ ), and the density data indicate that the "cubic" polymorphs of the heavier rare earth elements are probably sulfur deficient, it seems likely that both of the above reasons are contributing to the formation of the high-pressure "cubic" phase.

		-		10112														
hkl I	т	Gd S <sub>2</sub>		Tb S <sub>2</sub>		Dy	Dy S <sub>2</sub>		Ho S <sub>2</sub>		ErS <sub>2</sub>		Tm S <sub>2</sub>		Yb S <sub>2</sub>		YS 2	
	1	d <sub>obs</sub>	d <sub>calc</sub>	d <sub>obs</sub>	dcalc	d <sub>obs</sub>	d <sub>calc</sub>	d <sub>obs</sub>	dcalc	d <sub>obs</sub>	dcalc	d <sub>obs</sub>	d <sub>calc</sub>	d <sub>obs</sub>	d <sub>calc</sub>	$d_{obs}$	dcale	
002	w	3.91	3.94	3.93	3.92	3,90	3.90	3.89	3.89	3.87	3.87	3.88	3.87	3.85	3.86	3.91	3.90	
201	vvs	3.50	3.53	3.49	3.51	3.48	3.49	3.46	3.48	3.44	3.46	3.44	3.46	3.43	3.45	3.47	3.49	
	vvw	3.30	-		-		_	-	-	3.25		3.33		3.30	-	3.28	-	
211	vvw		3.21		3.20	- 1	3.18	-	3.18	3.14	3.16	3.07	3.16	3.13	3.15	3.15	3.18	
	vvw	-	-	2,940	-	-	-	—	-	2.904		2.791				2.993		
220	vs	2.771	2.787	2.760	2.774	2.752	2,761	2.738	2.752	2.728	2.738	2.718	2.738	2.714	2.730	2.740	2.757	
	w				0 615	0 000		0 507	2 505	0 500		2.687	2 502	2.011	9 574		2 500	
003, 221	vs	2.022	2.021	2.010	2,010	2.003	2.003	2.001	2.000	2.002	2.304	2.570	2.304	2.315	2.314	2.304	2.355	
211	vvw	-	2.400	-	2.415	_	2 351	_	2.437		2 332	_	2 330	2.405	2 323	2 393	2 351	
511	vvw	-	2.310	_	2.303	_	2.351	2 388	2.343	2 393	2.332	-	2.000	_	2.525	2 301	2.001	
222	VVW	2 270	2 275	2 263	2.265	2.251	2.254	2.240	2.247	2.229	2.236	2.229	2.236	2.223	2.229	2.242	2.251	
203	VVS	2 183	2 186	2.178	2.176	2.170	2.166	2.162	2.159	2.152	2.148	2.150	2.148	2.140	2.142	2.164	2.163	
321	vvw		2.101	_	2.095	_	2.084	_	2.080	2.073	2.070	2.065	2.070		2.064	2.070	2.084	
400	s	1.962	1.971	1.956	1.961	1.947	1.952	1.939	1.946	1,930	1.936	1.934	1.936	1.931	1,931	1.941	1.949	
	vvw	-	-	1.929	-		-	-		-	-		-	1.914	-		-	
401, 223	w	1.911	1.912	1.902	1,903	1.890	1.894	1.886	1.888	1.879	1.878	1.872	1.878	1.864	1.873	1.887	1.891	
303, 411	vvw	-	1.853	1.847	1.849	-	1.841	-	1.835	1.814	1.816	-	1.826	1.817	1.820		1.833	
331	w	-	1.803	-	1.798	-	1.789		1.783		1.774	1.775	1.777	-	1.772		1.784	
402	w	1.756	1.762	1.754	1.754	1.746	1.746	1.739	1.741	1.731	1.732	1.732	1.732	1.725	1.727	1.745	1.743	
-	vvw	-		1.738				-	-		-				1 005	1 000	1 701	
421	s	1.715	1.720	1.710	1.712	1.700	1.704	1.695	1.699	1.685	1.690	1.684	1.690	1.681	1.685	1.093	1.701	
332	vw	-	1.676		1.671	-	1.662		1.657		1.649	1.664	1.601	1.048	1.040	1 501	1.000	
422	vvw		1.605	1.600	1.601	1 5 69	1.594	1.584	1.589	1.581	1.581	1.092	1.581	1.570	1.570	1.591	1.550	
005, 403	w	1.575	1.576	1.572	1.569	1.563	1.502	1.000	1.557	1.548	1,549	1.547	1.549	1.540	1 514	1.525	1 529	
105, 413	vvw	-	1.546	1.538	1.539		1.551	1.545	1.321	1.515	1 488	1 498	1 491	1.501	1 486	-	1.496	
115, 333	vvw	-	1.515	-	1.505	_	1.301		1.400	_	1.400	1 481	-	_		_		
205 423	VVW	1 463	1 464	1 460	1 457	1 451	1 450	1 446	1.445	1.440	1.438	1.437	1.438	1.434	1.434	1.449	1.448	
440	w	1 390	1 393	1 387	1 387	1.378	1.380	1.372	1.376	1.367	1.369	1.365	1.369	1.361	1.365	1.375	1.378	
225 441	vw	1.373	1.372	1.369	1.366	1.364	1.359	1.360	1.355	1.352	1.348	1.350	1.348	1.345	1.344	1.360	1.357	
305, 433	vvw	_	1.352	_	1.344	_	1.337		1.333	-	1.327	-	1.328	1.323	1.324	1.333	1.337	
006, 442	w	1.314	1.314	1.308	1.308	1.301	1.302	1.297	1.297	1.290	1.291	1.289	1.291	1.284	1.287	1.298	1.300	
601	vvw	-	1.296	1.287	1.290	1.280	1.284	1.275	1.280	1.274	1.273	1.274	1.273		1.269	1.279	1.282	
611, 532	vvw	-	1.279	1.269	1.273	-	1.267	1.256	1.263	1.250	1.256	. –	1.254		1.250	1.258	1.265	
	w	-	-	1.246	- 1	-	-	1.237	-	1.234	-				1	1.239	1 000	
620	w	1.249	1.246	1.240	1.240	1.236	1.235	1.228	1.231	1.223	1.225	1.230	1.225	1.223	1.221	1.235	1.233	
405,621,443	w	1.231	1.231	1.225	1.225	1.218	1.220	1.214	1.216	1.208	1.210	1.207	1.210	1.203	1.200	1.211	1 196	
533	vvw	-	1.199		1.195	-	1.189	1 170	1.185	1 1 60	1.180	1.180	1.101	1 164	1 165	1 1 75	1 175	
622	w	-	1.188	1.183	1.183	1.178	1.177	1.172	1.173	1,109	1.100	1.109	1 155	1 1 1 5 9	1 151	1 165	1 162	
603, 425	w	-	1.175	1.171	1,109	1.100	1.104	1.105	1 148	1 140	1 142	1 138	1 142	1.100	1 139	1.145	1.150	
031	vvw	-	1 1 1 2 0	1.150	1.101	-	1 126	1 -	1 124	1 119	1 118	1.115	1.118	1.115	1.115		1.123	
444	VVW	_	1 1 1 2 6	1 1 1 9	1 121	1 1 1 4	1 116	1.110	1.112	1.105	1,106	1.100	1.106	1.096	1.103	1.112	1.114	
604	VVW	_	1.093		1.087		1.081		1.079	1.076	1.074	1.074	1.074	- 1	1.071	-	1.078	
207.641	VVW	_	1.083	1.076	1.078	1.070	1.073	1.068	1.069	1.062	1.064	1.061	1.064	1.058	1.061	1.064	1.071	
426	w	1.055	1.053	1.050	1.048	1.047	1.044	1.044	1.040	1.039	1.035	1.036	1.035	1.033	1.032	1.046	1.042	
605, 643	w	1.008	1.009	1.003	1.004	1.001	1.000	0.997	0.997	0.992	0.992	0.988	0.992	0.987	0.989	0.999	0.998	
615, 732	vvw	-	-	0.995	0.996	-	0.992	0.986	0.989	0.983	0.984	-	-	-	-	0.989	0.990	
			1		I		1				·		·	0.0	, 	0 at 1	ı nu linc -	
	1	+1 oth	er line	+7 othe	er lines	+3 oth	erlines	+4 oth	er lines	+5 oth	er lines	+4 oth	er lines	+8 oth	er lines	+9 oth	erines	

TABLE IV X-RAY POWDER PATTERNS OF THE "CUBIC" RARE EARTH POLYSULFIDES

It will be noted in Figure 9 that a smooth curve is observed to fit the values of minimum formation pressure for the "cubic" form vs. rare earth ionic radius fairly well with the exception of the value for yttrium. A better fit is obtained if yttrium is assigned an ionic radius of about 0.890 Å. Iandelli<sup>18</sup> gave it the value of 0.910 Å, and Ring<sup>5</sup> similarly placed Y between Tb and Dy. Picon<sup>19</sup> placed it very close to Dy (0.90 Å) and Eatough<sup>10</sup> placed it at 0.923 Å, the same as Tb.

These values would predict the formation of the "cubic" phase at about 10 kbars lower than observed. Two effects are probably at work here. The first is the somewhat variable ionic radius of yttrium; second, yttrium is not one of the lanthanide elements and thus is not expected to act completely like one.

Acknowledgments.- We thank James H. Hoen, Norman L. Eatough, John F. Cannon, Karl

Miller, M. D. Horton, and Fred D. Childs for their assistance in various phases of this work. We thank Leo Merrill and the Brigham Young University Computer Research Center for help with the computer programming.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the National Science Foundation and the Army Research Office, Durham, N. C., and is part of a dissertation by the first author. <sup>2</sup> Now an NAS-NRC Fellow at the Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. <sup>3</sup> (a) W. Biltz, Z. Elektrochem., **17**, 668 (1911); (b) J. Flahaut, M. Guittard, and M. Patrie, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 1917 (1959). <sup>4</sup> CeS<sub>2</sub> was reported by F, L. Carter, *Met. Soc. Conf.*, **15**, 245 (1961), to be of tetragonal or lower symmetry, and more recently J. A. Marcon and R. Pascard, Compt. Rend., C266, 270 (1968), found CeSe<sub>2</sub>, which is isotypic with  $CeS_2$ , to be monoclinic with a pseudocubic or pseudotetragonal X-ray structure. Therefore, we will use the terms "cubic" and "tetragonal" herein to designate the apparent X-ray diffraction symmetry, as observed in the powder patterns. <sup>5</sup> S. A. Ring and M. Tecotzky, *Inorg. Chem.*, **3**, 182 (1964). <sup>6</sup> H. T. Hall, Rev. Sci. Instr., 29, 267 (1958). <sup>7</sup> H. T. Hall, *ibid.*, **33**, 1278 (1962). <sup>8</sup> N. Eatough, Doctoral Dissertation, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, 1968 <sup>9</sup> R. N. Jeffrey, J. D. Barnett, H, B. Vanfleet, and H. T. Hall, J. Appl. Phys., 37, 3172 (1966). <sup>10</sup> L. E. Millett, Doctoral Dissertation, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, 1968. <sup>11</sup> M. Picon and M. Patrie, Compt. Rend., 243, 1769 (1956). <sup>12</sup> N. Eatough, A. W. Webb, and H. T. Hall, Inorg. Chem., 8, 2069 (1969). <sup>13</sup> M. H. Mueller, L. Heaton, and K. T. Miller. Acta Cryst., 13, 828 (1960). <sup>14</sup> D. K. Smith, Report UCRL-7196, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, Calif., 1963. <sup>15</sup> R. Wang and H. Steinfink, Inorg. Chem., **6**,1685 (1967). <sup>16</sup> C. P. Kempter, *Phys. Status Solidi*, **8**, 161 (1965). <sup>17</sup> R. Wang, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, 1967. <sup>18</sup> A. Iandelli in "Rare Earth Research," E. V. Kleber, Ed., The Macmillan Co., New York, N. Y., 1961, pp 146-151. <sup>19</sup> M. Picon, L. Domange, J. Flahaut, M. Guittard, and M. Patric, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 27, 221 (1960).